## TAIWAN'S HIGHER EDUCATION REFORM

QI Dongtao

EAI Background Brief No. 622

Date of Publication: 6 May 2011

## **Executive Summary**

- 1. Taiwan's higher education reform has been following the global trend of public sector reforms. It is a multiple process of decentralization, deregulation, enrollment expansion, marketization, and privatization.
- 2. Local factors such as political democratization, industrial upgrade and social activism together shaped the emergence and development of Taiwan's higher education reform.
- 3. The higher education reform started in the early 1990s and is still ongoing with constant controversies and protests from different stakeholders involved in the reform.
- 4. The university governance reform in Taiwan, including reforming its relations with the government and university intra-governance, is relatively successful, because major popular appeals, such as university autonomy and academic freedom, have been achieved through legislation and effective implementation.
- 5. In contrast, another major popular appeal, higher education expansion, has been achieved quickly but with many unexpected negative consequences, and become the most problematic part of the whole higher education reform.
- 6. Higher education expansion has lifted Taiwan from the stage of mass higher education to that of universal access to higher education and generally reduced education inequality.
- 7. On the other hand, the expansion has also caused problems such as college graduates' high unemployment and a job and qualification mismatch.
- 8. Marketization of higher education has been promoted by the Taiwanese government to reduce its financial burden caused by the higher education expansion and to improve universities' competence and accountability.

- 9. After marketization, revenue generation has become one of the most important priorities for most universities, which in turn has led to significant tuition fee increases.
- 10. Taiwan's future higher education reform needs to cope with the urgent challenges of a lack of students and a need to corporatize public higher education institutions without further impairing their public service function.